

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,429	10/28/2003	David Mathieu	2185.004USU	9460
56080	7590 01/09/2006		EXAMINER	
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C.			HAMILTON, ISAAC N	
V	MAIN STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300 MADISON.	WI 53703-3300		3724	
,			DATE MAIL ED: 01/09/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,429	MATHIEU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Isaac N. Hamilton	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2005.		
_	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	· •	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,37 and 38</u> is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32, 37 and 38</u> are subject to restr	rawn from consideration.	ement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in A iority documents have been	pplication No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

After further review of the instant application, the Examiner was misdirected by "biasing member" in line 5 of claim 1. According to the specification and the drawings, this element should be "biasing element". In light of the applicant's misleading claims, a new restriction requirement is set forth below.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a rotary trimmer with a biasing member, classified in class83, subclass 564.
- II. Claims 19-27 are drawn to a rotary trimmer with an actuator and a connecting element, classified in class 30, subclass 162.
- III. Claims 28-32, 37 and 38 are drawn to a connecting element, classified in class 83, subclass 698.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as supplying a force to the blade carriage. Invention II has separate utility such as slidingly positioning a cutting blade along a linear path. Invention III has separate utility such as selectively and operatively connecting the blade carriage and the rail. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Species in figure 1.
- II. Species in figures 2 and 3.
- III. Species in figures 4 and 5.
- IV. Species in figure 6.
- V. Species in figure 7.
- VI. Species in figures 8 and 9.
- VII. Species in figure 10.
- VIII. Species in figures 11 and 12.
- IX. Species in figures 13 and 14.
- X. Species in figures 15 and 16.
- XI. Species in figure 17.
- XII. Species in figure 18.
- XIII. Species in figure 19.
- XIV. Species in figure 20.
- XV. Species in figure 21.
- XVI. Species in figure 22.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 3, 2006

Timothy V. Eley Primary Examiner